

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/707,883

Applicant(s)

MATSUDA ET AL.

Examiner

Jessica L. Rossi

Art Unit

1733

All Participants:(1) Jessica L. Rossi.(2) Mr. Fagin.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 November 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner pointed out that claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as set forth in paragraph 8 of the previous office action, because Ozawa (JP 6-29332) teaches moving the roller up and down (along the z axis) and rotating the roller about a theta axis (central, rolling axis about which roller rolls). Applicants agreed to amend claim 1 to state -- said theta axis being an axis other than a central, rolling axis about which said attaching roller rolls-- (support found in Figure 2B, p. 6, line 20, and p. 8, lines 8-10) in order to distinguish claim 1 from the prior art of record. Applicants also agreed to change dependency of claim 15, since claim 11 was canceled.